



**SMART & BIGGAR**  
Intellectual Property & Technology Law

P.O. Box 2999, Station D  
900 – 55 Metcalfe Street  
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1P 5Y6  
Tel. (613) 232-2486 Fax (613) 232-8440  
[www.smart-biggar.ca](http://www.smart-biggar.ca)

David M. Walters  
[dmwalters@smart-biggar.ca](mailto:dmwalters@smart-biggar.ca)

**Our Ref: 52868-2C**

## CONFIRMATION COPY

June 26, 2007

Mr. Donald Studebaker  
Nixon, Peabody LLP  
401 9<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20004-2128  
U.S.A.

**VIA E-MAIL**  
Confirmation and Enclosures by Courier

**RECEIVED**

JUN 27 2007  
  
NIXON PEABODY, LLP

Dear Don:

Re: United States Patent Application

No.: 10/648,266

Title: VIDEO PRODUCTION SWITCHER WITH INTEGRATED  
DIGITAL VIDEO PROCESSING UNIT

Present Owner: Ross, David et al

Inventor: Ross, David et al

**Your Ref:** 740618-057

Further to our e-mail communications of earlier today, enclosed with the confirmation copy of this letter are the following materials for filing in response to the Final Office Action that was issued on December 28, 2006:

a response to the Final Office Action;

an Affidavit of inventor David Ross, with Exhibits "A" through "E"; and

an Affidavit of inventor Alun Fryer, with Exhibits "A" through "D".

Please review the enclosed response for completeness, and proceed to sign, date, and file the response with the Patent Office by the six-month deadline of June 28, 2007. Please note that a request for a further two-month extension of time and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) should also be filed with the response and Affidavits. Small Entity

status should now also be claimed for the application, so as to reduce the official fees for the extension of time and the RCE.

As noted in my earlier e-mail communication, please proceed to file the enclosed original executed Affidavits with the response. Some of the detail of the photographs in Exhibit "B" attached to each Affidavit could potentially be lost in a further photocopy.

**Please confirm your receipt of this communication and the enclosures, and also confirm that a response to the Final Office Action will be filed by the due date of June 28, 2007.**

Please of course advise us immediately if you require anything further to proceed with preparing a response to the Final Office Action. Otherwise, we will look forward to receiving your confirmation of receipt and your formal report of the filing of a response to the Final Office Action.

Yours very truly,

SMART & BIGGAR



David M. Walters

DMW:wfs  
encl.

cc      Mr. Carlos Villamar (via e-mail only)  
         Mr. Jeffrey Costellia (via e-mail only)

Effective on 12/08/2004.  
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

# FEE TRANSMITTAL FOR FY 2005

Complete if Known



<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Examiner Name	Jean Wicel Desir
<b>TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT</b> (\$785.00)	Art Unit	2622
	Attorney Docket No.	740618-57

## METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

Check  Credit Card  Money Order  None  Other (please identify): \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 19-2380 Deposit Account Name: \_\_\_\_\_

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

- Charge fee(s) indicated below  Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
- Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s)  Credit any overpayments under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

**WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-20238.

## FEE CALCULATION

### 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	_____
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	_____
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	_____
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	_____
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	_____

### 2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description Small Entity Fee (\$) Fee (\$)

Each claim over 20 or, for Reissues, each claim over 20 and more than in the original patent

50 25

Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissues, each independent claim more than in the original patent

200 100

Multiple document claims

360 180

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 20 or HP =	x	=				

HP == highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20

Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 3 or HP =	x	=	

HP == highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3

### 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 100 =	/ 50 =	(round up to a whole number)	x	=

### 4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) \_\_\_\_\_

Other: RCE Fee; \$395.00; 3<sup>rd</sup> months EOT \$390.00 785.00

## SUBMITTED BY

Signature		Registration No. 32,815 (Attorney/Agent)	Telephone 202 585 8000
Name (Print/Type)	Donald R. Studebaker		Date June 28, 2007